

CONSISTENT PERFORMING FUND MANAGERS

A fund manager's ability to perform consistently across multiple vehicles within a fund series is a key consideration for investors. The tables below break down the consistent top performing fund managers by fund type (buyout, venture capital and fund of funds).

METHODOLOGY

Preqin only assigns quartile rankings to funds that have been investing for three years or more. The lists are restricted to active fund managers that have raised a fund in the past six years or that are currently raising a fund, and have raised at least three funds of a similar strategy. An average quartile ranking is calculated and assigned to each fund manager. Funds are then given a score based on the quartile ranking: top-quartile funds receive a score of 1.00, second-quartile funds are given a score of 2.00 etc., and then an average is taken. The tables do not seek to endorse the fund managers that are listed but rather highlight those that have historically had the most consistent top fund performance.

Fig. 1: Most Consistent Performing Buyout Fund Managers

Firm	Headquarters	Overall No. of Funds with Quartile Ranking	No. of Funds in Top Quartile	No. of Funds in Second Quartile	Average Quartile Rank
Auctus Management	Munich, Germany	3	3	0	1.00
Clearview Capital	Stamford, US	3	3	0	1.00
Mason Wells	Milwaukee, US	3	3	0	1.00
Montefiore Investment	Paris, France	3	3	0	1.00
Pechel Industries	Paris, France	3	3	0	1.00
FIMI	Tel Aviv, Israel	5	4	1	1.20
Altor	Stockholm, Sweden	4	3	1	1.25
Inflexion	London, UK	4	3	1	1.25
Veritas Capital	New York, US	4	3	1	1.25
Quadrant Private Equity	Sydney, Australia	6	5	0	1.33
Vista Equity Partners	San Francisco, US	6	4	2	1.33
Altaris	New York, US	3	2	1	1.33
Corpfin Capital	Madrid, Spain	3	2	1	1.33
DFW Capital Partners	Teaneck, US	3	2	1	1.33
Egeria Capital Management	Amsterdam, Netherlands	3	2	1	1.33
Fortissimo Capital	Rosh Ha'ayin, Israel	3	2	1	1.33
Harwood Private Equity	London, UK	3	2	1	1.33
Industrial Growth Partners	San Francisco, US	3	2	1	1.33
Nautic Partners	Providence, US	3	2	1	1.33
TSG Consumer Partners	San Francisco, US	3	2	1	1.33
Wynnchurch Capital Partners	Rosemont, US	3	2	1	1.33
Seidler Equity Partners	Marina del Rey, US	5	4	0	1.40
American Securities	New York, US	5	3	2	1.40
Ethos	Illovo, South Africa	5	3	2	1.40
Waterland Private Equity Investments	Bussum, Netherlands	5	3	2	1.40
ABRY Partners	Boston, US	7	5	1	1.43
GI Partners	San Francisco, US	4	2	2	1.50
Thoma Bravo	Chicago, US	7	4	2	1.57
TA Associates	Boston, US	12	6	5	1.58

Source: Preqin Private Equity Online

Fig. 2: Most Consistent Performing Venture Capital Fund Managers

Firm	Headquarters	Overall No. of Funds with Quartile Ranking	No. of Funds in Top Quartile	No. of Funds in Second Quartile	Average Quartile Rank
Pittsford Ventures Management	Pittsford, US	6	6	0	1.00
OrbiMed Advisors	New York, US	4	4	0	1.00
Benchmark Capital	Woodside, US	3	3	0	1.00
DN Capital	London, UK	3	3	0	1.00
Third Rock Ventures	Boston, US	3	3	0	1.00
Avalon Ventures	La Jolla, US	6	5	1	1.17
Sequoia Capital	Menlo Park, US	5	4	1	1.20
General Catalyst Partners	Cambridge, US	4	3	1	1.25
Columbia Capital	Alexandria, US	6	4	2	1.33
AJU IB Investment	Seoul, South Korea	3	2	1	1.33
Future Venture Capital	Kyoto, Japan	3	2	1	1.33
iNovia Capital	Montreal, Canada	3	2	1	1.33
Pontifax AgTech	Santa Monica, US	3	2	1	1.33
Battery Ventures	Boston, US	10	7	2	1.40
Summit Partners	Boston, US	10	6	4	1.40
CDH Investments	Beijing, China	5	3	2	1.40
Charles River Ventures	Palo Alto, US	5	3	2	1.40
GGV Capital	Menlo Park, US	5	3	2	1.40
Matrix Partners	Cambridge, US	6	4	1	1.50
Bain Capital Ventures	Boston, US	6	3	3	1.50
Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers	Menlo Park, US	6	3	3	1.50
BlueRun Ventures	Menlo Park, US	4	3	0	1.50
Vickers Venture Partners	Singapore	4	3	0	1.50
Merlin Nexus	New York, US	4	2	2	1.50

Source: Preqin Private Equity Online

Fig. 3: Most Consistent Performing Fund of Funds Managers

Firm	Headquarters	Overall No. of Funds with Quartile Ranking	No. of Funds in Top Quartile	No. of Funds in Second Quartile	Average Quartile Rank
Axiom Asia Private Capital	Singapore	3	2	1	1.33
Bowside Capital	Charleston, US	3	2	1	1.33
TrueBridge Capital Partners	Chapel Hill, US	5	4	0	1.40
Greenspring Associates	Owings Mills, US	7	5	1	1.43
Industry Ventures	San Francisco, US	7	4	3	1.43
HQ Capital International	New York, US	4	2	2	1.50

Source: Preqin Private Equity Online

IDENTIFY TOP PERFORMING FUND MANAGERS

Preqin’s online platform can be used to identify fund managers with consistently high performance, using Preqin’s quartile ranking system. View detailed profiles for these managers as well as fund-level performance data for more than 9,300 private capital vehicles.

www.preqin.com/privateequity